

Some people believe that famous individuals, particularly celebrities and professional athletes, receive excessively high salaries. I partly agree with this view because some earnings appear disproportionate to ordinary incomes, although there are economic reasons why successful public figures can receive large payments.

One argument against extremely high salaries is that they can seem unfair when compared with the income of essential workers. Teachers, nurses and other professionals provide valuable services to society but often earn considerably less than celebrities. In addition, some famous individuals can earn millions from sponsorships, advertisements and media appearances. Such large financial rewards may create unrealistic expectations about wealth and success among young people.

However, high earnings can be explained by the economic value that famous people generate. Successful athletes, musicians and actors can attract millions of viewers, which creates significant revenue for clubs, companies and entertainment organisations. Their income is often determined by market demand rather than the social importance of their profession. For example, a highly popular athlete may generate substantial ticket sales, broadcasting revenue and sponsorship income, allowing employers to offer a large salary.

In my opinion, some celebrity earnings are certainly excessive, but it would be difficult to argue that all famous people are overpaid. Their income generally reflects their popularity, talent, scarcity of skills and ability to generate revenue.

In conclusion, the enormous salaries received by some celebrities can appear disproportionate, particularly compared with essential workers' incomes. Nevertheless, high payments are often a result of market demand and the considerable commercial value these individuals create.