

Some people believe that governments should invest more money in public transport rather than building new roads. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Sample Answer

Many cities face increasing traffic congestion as the number of private vehicles continues to grow. Some people therefore argue that governments should prioritise investment in public transport instead of constructing additional roads. I largely agree with this view because efficient public transportation can address both congestion and environmental problems more effectively.

The main reason for prioritising public transport is that it can move large numbers of people using considerably fewer vehicles. An efficient metro, bus or railway system can encourage commuters to leave their cars at home, reducing the number of vehicles on busy roads. This can also lower fuel consumption and air pollution. For example, cities with extensive public transport networks can provide commuters with practical alternatives to private cars, particularly for daily journeys.

Building more roads may provide temporary relief but does not necessarily solve congestion in the long term. When new roads make driving easier, more people may choose to use private vehicles. As traffic volumes subsequently increase, these roads can become congested again. Nevertheless, road infrastructure remains necessary in areas where public transport cannot provide adequate coverage, particularly in rural or rapidly developing regions.

Therefore, governments should not completely stop investing in roads. Instead, public transport should receive greater priority in densely populated urban areas, while road construction should be limited to projects where it is genuinely necessary.

In conclusion, I agree that governments should allocate more resources to public transport because it offers a more sustainable long-term solution to urban congestion. However, appropriate investment in essential road infrastructure is also necessary.